RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 2 1 2005

Appl. No. 09/965,002 Appeal Brief dated 12/21/2005 Reply to Office Action of 08/12/2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Application of:

Abdelhadi et al.

: Before the Examiner:

Serial No: 09/965,002

: Michael D. Meucci

Filed: 09/27/2001

: Group Art Unit: 2142

Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD : Confirmation No.: 2728

OF REPRESENTING REAL-TIME DISTRIBUTED COMMAND

EXECUTION STATUS ACROSS

DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

TRANSMITTAL OF APPELLANTS' BRIEF UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.192(a)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attached is Appellant's Brief, in triplicate, from a decision of the Examiner dated 08/12/2005, finally rejecting the claims in the Application.

The item(s) marked below are appropriate:

- 1. ____ A petition and fee for extension of term for reply to the final rejection is attached.
- 2. X Appeal fee

X other than a small entity. Fee: \$500.00

3. X Payment

Please charge Deposit Account 09-0447 the sum of \$500.00. A duplicate of this notice is attached.

AUS920010905US1

Page 1 of 2

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any credit any required which may bе fee, additional overpayment to Deposit Account No. 09-0447.

> submitted, Respectfulls

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 39,969

(5/2) 306-7969/

AUS920010905US1

FAX

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

DEC 2 1 2005

ATTN. Michael D. Meucci

Fax Number 1 571 273 8300

Phone Number 571 272 3892

FROM Volel Emile, Esq.

Fax Number (512) 306-0240

Phone Number (512) 306-7969

SUBJECT Appeal Brief (09/965,002)

Number of Pages 51

Date 12/21/2005

MESSAGE

This fax transmission contains:

- one copy of a Fax Transmittal Form;
- 2. two copies of a Fee Transmittal Letter, including fee; and
- 3. three copies of the Appeal Brief.

Volel

DEC 2 1 2005

	U.S. Paten	PTO/SB/21 (02-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2008, OMB 0651-0031 t and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE								
Under the Panerwork Reduction Act or 1985, no page.	Application Number	n of information unlose it dischars a ward OMB control member. 09/955,002								
TRANSMITTAL	Filling Date	09/2//2001								
FORM	First Named Inventor	Şərşə F, Abdelhadi								
(to be used for all correspondence (the initial filing)	Art Unit	2142								
	Examiner Name	Michael C. Mrucci								
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	AUS920010905US1								
EN	ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)									
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final After Final After Final Extension of Time Request Express Abendonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts/ under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Pepers Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Addr Terminal Discisimer Request for Refund CO, Number of CD(s) arts it Brief	After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC) Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Protocure(e) (please identify helow):								
	OF APPLICANT, ATTORN	EY, OR AGENT								
or Individual name										
Signature / July	- Jule									
Dale 12/21/2005										
CERJU	CATE OF TRANSMISSION	VMAILING								
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with surficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Potents. P.O. Box 1460, Absolution, VA 22313-1460 on the date shown below.										
Typed or printed name										
Signature ULL	Crul	Date 12/21/2005								

This collection of Information is required by S7 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 33 LLS.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is assisted to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the includual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Committee on the Committee on the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Committee on the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Committee on the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Committee on the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Committee on the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Committee on the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Committee on the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Committee on the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Committee on the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Committee on the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Committee on the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Committee on the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Chief Infor

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

DEC 2 1 2005

Appl. No. 09/965,002 Appeal Brief dated 12/21/2005 Reply to Office Action of 08/12/2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Application of:

Abdelhadi et al.

: Before the Examiner: Michael D. Meucci

Serial No: 09/965,002

Filed: 09/27/2001

: Group Art Unit: 2142

Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD : Confirmation No.: 2728

OF REPRESENTING REAL-TIME DISTRIBUTED COMMAND

EXECUTION STATUS ACROSS

DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

TRANSMITTAL OF APPELLANTS' BRIEF UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.192(a)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attached is Appellant's Brief, in triplicate, from a finally 08/12/2005, Examiner dated decision of the rejecting the claims in the Application.

The item(s) marked below are appropriate:

1		A	pet	iti	.on	and	fe	e	for	ex.	ten	sion	of	term	for
	re	ply	to	the	fina	11	re	jecti	on	is	attac	hed.			

2. <u>X</u> Appeal fee

X__ other than a small entity. Fee: \$500.00

3. X Payment

Please charge Deposit Account 09-0447 the sum of \$500.00. A duplicate of this notice is attached.

AUS920010905US1

Page 1 of 2

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fee, which may be required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 09-0447.

submitted,

Volel Emile

Respectfully

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 39,969 (5/2) 306-7969

AUS920010905US1

DEC 2 1 2005

Appl. No. 09/965,002 Appeal Brief dated 12/21/2005 Reply to Office Action of 08/12/2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Application of:

Abdelhadi et al.

: Before the Examiner:

Serial No: 09/965,002

Michael D. Meucci

Filed: 09/27/2001

: Group Art Unit: 2142

Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD : Confirmation No.: 2728

OF REPRESENTING REAL-TIME DISTRIBUTED COMMAND **EXECUTION STATUS ACROSS** DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

APPELLANTS' BRIEF UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.192

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is an appeal to a final rejection dated August 12, 2005 of claims 1 - 37 of Application Serial Number 09/965,002 filed on September 27, 2001. This Appeal Brief is submitted pursuant to a Notice of Appeal filed on November 13, 2005 in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.192.

AUS920010905US1

p.08

DEC 2 1 2005

Appl. No. 09/965,002 Appeal Brief dated 12/21/2005 Reply to Office Action of 08/12/2005

BRIEF FOR APPLICANTS - APPELLANTS

(1)

Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest is International Business Machines Corporation (IBM), the assignee.

(2)

Related Appeals and Interferences

There are no other appeals or interferences known to appellants, appellants' representative or assignee, which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

(3)

Status of Claims

Claims 1 - 37 have been finally rejected in an Office Action dated August 12, 2005.

(4)

Status of Amendment

All amendments have been entered.

(5)

Summary of the Invention

In accordance with the teachings of the invention, when a command is being executed on a plurality of computer systems on a network, a dialog window is displayed (page In the dialog window, sub-17, lines 9 - 16 and Fig. 10). windows for displaying present status of the execution of

AUS920010905US1

Page 2 of 15

the command on each of the computer systems are displayed (page 17, lines 24 to page 18, line 9).

(6)

Issues

Whether Claims 1 - 37 were properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$103(a) as being unpatentable over Joyce et al. in view of Ahmed et al.

(7)

Grouping of Claims

The rejected claims stand or fall together.

(8)

Argument

In considering a Section \$103 rejection, the subject matter of the claim "as a whole" must be considered and In the analysis, it is necessary that the scope analyzed. and contents of the prior art and differences between the art and the claimed invention be determined. Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1 (1966).

Joyce et al. purport to teach a method of using monitoring tools to support the development of distributed systems that interact via message passing (see page 122, lines 1 - 3). In accordance with the teachings of Joyce et al., Jade, a programming environment, is used to support the development of a distributed program. Jade includes a window system, a graphics package, an interactive graphics

AUS920010905US1

Page 3 of 15

editor and a distributed monitoring system (see Section 2 on page 125).

The graphics package provides routines for creating graphics editor and the manipulating pictures and facilitates the creation of pictures that can be used to executing distributed represent specific states ο£ an program (see the 4th full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page The window system may be used by a user to create and manipulate windows using a mouse, for example. window is a virtual terminal as well as an interface to Jade processes (see the 3rd full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page 125).

Thus, in conjunction with the window system, the graphics package and the graphics editor, the distributed monitoring system may be used to observe a set of Jade processes executing on different machines (see the 1st full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page 125 as well as the 1st full paragraph of Section 2.2 on page 126).

The system may be set such that each time an event is received (which is generally done through message passing from one computer system to another), a picture that represents a current state of the inter-process communication of the distributed application program is updated and displayed to the user (see Section 3.2 on pages 133 and 134). Consequently, an animated graphical view of an event stream, such as that shown in Fig. 7, may be displayed to a user.

But Joyce et al. do not teach, show or suggest the step of displaying a dialog window that is divided into sub-windows in which the status of a command that is being

AUS920010905US1

Page 4 of 15

executed on a plurality of computer systems is displayed as claimed.

Ahmed et al. purport to teach a distributed framework workstation communication between intertask for According to the purported teachings of applications. Ahmed et al., one or more workstations are interconnected by an extensible intertask communication (ITC) apparatus. Each workstation has a display in which one or more windows Each window is generated in are presented to an operator. response to the execution of an application program or Each client application has a Human client application. The Framework Code, Interface Code and a Framework Code. server program, transmits conjunction with a communicates event information directly between a first client application and a second client application, or a programs concurrently application of client executing in one or more workstations of a network of interconnected workstations, without requiring that event information pass through and register with an intervening server or dispatcher application program, if and when an interest object is initially transmitted between the first client application and the second client application via the server program.

An event is an action taken by one operator at a For example, that operator may drag the workstation. cursor by moving a mouse or perhaps the operator will delete data or create new data. That event information, being practiced by one operator in one program application at one workstation, may be needed by another operator in another program application at another workstation.

AUS920010905US1

Page 5 of 15

that event transmit can communication interprocess information from the one program application to all other workstations, network of applications in the without requiring that the event information register with an intervening server or dispatcher program, provided that an interest object(s) was initially transmitted between the all the other and application program one applications via a server which are concurrently executing in all of the workstations in the network of workstations.

However, just as in the case of Joyce et al., Ahmed et al. do not show, teach or so much as suggest the step of displaying a dialog window that is divided into sub-windows in which the status of a command that is being executed on a plurality of computer systems is displayed as claimed.

Since the references, either alone or in combination, teach, show or suggest the claimed invention, Applicants submit that the claims in the Application are allowable. Hence, Applicants respectfully request allowance and passage to issue of the claims in the application.

ву:

Volel Emile

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 39,969

ubmitted,

 $(5\mathring{1}2)$ 3.96=7969

AUS920010905US1

A method of displaying (Previously presented) 1. execution status of a command, said command being sent to a plurality of computer systems on a network for execution, said method comprising the steps of:

displaying a dialog window, said dialog window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within a proper subwindow.

- (Original) The method of Claim 1 wherein said sub-2. windows include a "waiting" sub-window, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" sub-window.
- (Original) The method of Claim 2 wherein the step of 3. displaying the status of the execution of the command includes displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- (Original) The method of Claim 3 wherein when the 4. command begins to execute on a computer system, the moved from system is the the computer name of "waiting" sub-window to the "working" sub-window.

AUS920010905US1

Page 7 of 15

- (Original) The method of Claim 4 wherein when the 5. command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.
- Claim 5 wherein the method (Original) The of 6. sub-window is further divided into "completed" "successful" sub-window and a "failed" sub-window.
- (Original) The method of Claim 6 wherein the names of 7. the computer systems that have successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.
- (Previously presented) The method of Claim 7 wherein 8. the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "failed" sub-window.
- (Previously presented) The method of Claim 8 wherein 9. the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in red in the "failed" sub-window.
- The method of Claim 9 wherein when the 10. (Original) computer system is ofa name further information about the status of the command executing on the computer system is displayed.

AUS920010905US1

Page 8 of 15

- The method of Claim 10 wherein if the (Original) 11. selected computer system is displayed in the failed sub-window, a reason for the unsuccessful completion of the execution of the command is displayed.
- (Previously presented) The method of Claim 11 wherein 12. if the selected computer system is displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of the execution of the command is displayed.
- (Previously presented) A computer program product on a 13. computer readable medium for displaying an execution status of a command, said command being sent to a plurality of computer systems on а network for execution, said computer program product comprising:

for displaying a dialog window, said dialog code window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

code for displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within the proper sub-window.

(Original) The computer program product of Claim 13 14. wherein said sub-windows include a "waiting" subwindow, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" subwindow.

AUS920010905US1

Page 9 of 15

- (Original) The computer program product of Claim 14 15. wherein the code for displaying the status of the execution of the command includes code for displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- (Original) The computer program product of Claim 15 16. wherein when the command begins to execute on a computer system, the name of the computer system is moved from the "waiting" sub-window to the "working" sub-window.
- (Original) The computer program product of Claim 16 17. wherein when the command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.
- (Original) The computer program product of Claim 17 18. wherein the "completed" sub-window is further divided into a "successful" sub-window and a "failed" subwindow.
- (Original) The computer program product of Claim 18 19. wherein the names of the computer systems that have successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.
- (Previously presented) The computer program product of 20. Claim 19 wherein the names of the computer systems

AUS920010905US1

Page 10 of 15

> that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "failed" sub-window.

- (Previously presented) The computer program product of 21. Claim 20 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in red in the "failed" subwindow.
- (Original) The computer program product of Claim 21 22. wherein when the displayed name of a computer system is selected further information about the status of executing on the computer system the command displayed.
- (Original) The computer program product of Claim 22 23. wherein if the selected computer system is displayed the sub-window, reason for failed the of the unsuccessful completion of the execution command is displayed.
- (Previously presented) The computer program product of 24. Claim 23 wherein if the selected computer system 1s displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of the execution of the command is displayed.
- (Previously presented) An apparatus for displaying an 25. execution status of a command, said command being sent to a plurality of computer systems on a network for execution, said apparatus comprising:

AUS920010905U\$1

Page 11 of 15

> means for displaying a dialog window, said dialog window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

> means for displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within the proper sub-window.

- (Original) The apparatus of Claim 25 wherein said sub-26. windows include a "waiting" sub-window, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" sub-window.
- (Original) The apparatus of Claim 26 wherein the means 27. for displaying the status of the execution of the command includes means for displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- (Original) The apparatus of Claim 27 wherein when the 28. command begins to execute on a computer system, moved from the of the computer system is "waiting" sub-window to the "working" sub-window.
- (Original) The apparatus of Claim 28 wherein when the 29. command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.

AUS920010905US1

Page 12 of 15

- 30. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 29 wherein the "completed" sub-window is further divided into a "successful" sub-window and a "failed" sub-window.
- 31. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 30 wherein the names of the computer systems that have successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.
- 32. (Previously presented) The apparatus of Claim 31 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "failed" sub-window.
- 33. (Previously presented) The apparatus of Claim 32 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in red in the "failed" subwindow.
- 34. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 33 wherein when the displayed name of a computer system is selected further information about the status of the command executing on the computer system is displayed.
- 35. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 34 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the failed sub-window, a reason for the unsuccessful completion of the execution of the command is displayed.

AUS920010905US1

Page 13 of 15

- 36. (Previously presented) The apparatus of Claim 35 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of the execution of the command is displayed.
- 37. (Previously presented) A method of displaying an execution status of a command, the command being executed by a plurality of computer systems on a network, the computer systems running different system management software utilities having different command structures, the method comprising the steps of:

enabling a user to enter the command in a common interface, the command being either a request to start execution of another command or to stop execution of the other command, the common interface translating the command into the different command structures;

enabling a user to send the command to the plurality of the computer systems;

enabling a user to indicate whether or not the execution of the command is to be monitored;

displaying, if the execution of the command is to be monitored, a dialog window that is divided into a waiting, working, successful and failed sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the

AUS920010905US1

Page 14 of 15

> command on each of the computer systems executing the command; and

> displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within a proper subwindow-

AUS920010905US1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Application of:

Abdelhadi et al.

Serial No: 09/965,002

: Before the Examiner: : Michael D. Meucci

: Group Art Unit: 2142

Filed: 09/27/2001

Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD : Confirmation No.: 2728

OF REPRESENTING REAL-TIME DISTRIBUTED COMMAND EXECUTION STATUS ACROSS

DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

APPELLANTS' BRIEF UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.192

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is an appeal to a final rejection dated August 12, 2005 of claims 1 - 37 of Application Serial Number 09/965,002 filed on September 27, 2001. This Appeal Brief is submitted pursuant to a Notice of Appeal filed on November 13, 2005 in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.192.

AUS920010905US1 -

Page 1 of 15

BRIEF FOR APPLICANTS - APPELLANTS

(1)

Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest is International Business Machines Corporation (IBM), the assignee.

(2)

Related Appeals and Interferences

There are no other appeals or interferences known to appellants, appellants' representative or assignee, which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

(3)

Status of Claims

Claims 1 - 37 have been finally rejected in an Office Action dated August 12, 2005.

(4)

Status of Amendment

All amendments have been entered.

(5)

Summary of the Invention

In accordance with the teachings of the invention, when a command is being executed on a plurality of computer systems on a network, a dialog window is displayed (page In the dialog window, sub-17, lines 9 - 16 and Fig. 10). windows for displaying present status of the execution of

AUS920010905US1

Page 2 of 15

the command on each of the computer systems are displayed (page 17, lines 24 to page 18, line 9).

(6)

Issues

Whether Claims 1 - 37 were properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Joyce et al. in view of Ahmed et al.

(7)

Grouping of Claims

The rejected claims stand or fall together.

(8)

Argument

In considering a Section \$103 rejection, the subject matter of the claim "as a whole" must be considered and In the analysis, it is necessary that the scope analyzed. and contents of the prior art and differences between the art and the claimed invention be determined. Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1 (1966).

Joyce et al. purport to teach a method of using monitoring tools to support the development of distributed systems that interact via message passing (see page 122, lines 1 - 3). In accordance with the teachings of Joyce et al., Jade, a programming environment, is used to support Jade includes a the development of a distributed program. window system, a graphics package, an interactive graphics

AUS920010905US1

Page 3 of 15

editor and a distributed monitoring system (see Section 2 on page 125).

The graphics package provides routines for creating graphics editor manipulating pictures and the facilitates the creation of pictures that can be used to executing distributed represent specific states of an program (see the 4th full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page The window system may be used by a user to create and manipulate windows using a mouse, for example. window is a virtual terminal as well as an interface to Jade processes (see the 3rd full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page 125).

in conjunction with the window system, graphics package and the graphics editor, the distributed monitoring system may be used to observe a set of Jade processes executing on different machines (see the 1st full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page 125 as well as the 1st full paragraph of Section 2.2 on page 126).

The system may be set such that each time an event is received (which is generally done through message passing from one computer system to another), picture that ą of the inter-process state represents current communication of the distributed application program is updated and displayed to the user (see Section 3.2 on pages Consequently, an animated graphical view of 133 and 134). an event stream, such as that shown in Fig. 7, may be displayed to a user.

But Joyce et al. do not teach, show or suggest the step of displaying a dialog window that is divided into sub-windows in which the status of a command that is being

AUS920010905US1

Page 4 of 15

executed on a plurality of computer systems is displayed as claimed.

Ahmed et al. purport to teach a distributed framework for intertask communication between workstation According to the purported teachings of applications. Ahmed et al., one or more workstations are interconnected by an extensible intertask communication (ITC) apparatus. Each workstation has a display in which one or more windows are presented to an operator. Each window is generated in response to the execution of an application program or client application. Each client application has a Human Interface Code and a Framework Code. The Framework Code, conjunction with a server program, transmits and communicates event information directly between a first client application and a second client application, or a plurality of client application programs concurrently executing in one or more workstations of a network of interconnected workstations, without requiring that event information pass through and register with an intervening server or dispatcher application program, if and when an interest object is initially transmitted between the first client application and the second client application via the server program.

An event is an action taken by one operator at a workstation. For example, that operator may drag the cursor by moving a mouse or perhaps the operator will delete data or create new data. That event information, being practiced by one operator in one program application at one workstation, may be needed by another operator in another program application at another workstation. The

AUS920010905US1

Page 5 of 15

event that transmit communication can interprocess information from the one program application to all other network of workstations, applications the in program without requiring that the event information register with an intervening server or dispatcher program, provided that an interest object(s) was initially transmitted between the all the other and application program one applications via a server which are concurrently executing in all of the workstations in the network of workstations.

However, just as in the case of Joyce et al., Ahmed et al. do not show, teach or so much as suggest the step of displaying a dialog window that is divided into sub-windows in which the status of a command that is being executed on a plurality of computer systems is displayed as claimed.

Since the references, either alone or in combination, teach, show or suggest the claimed invention, Applicants submit that the claims in the Application are allowable. Hence, Applicants respectfully request allowance and passage to issue of the claims in the application.

Respectivity submittee

Av- i

Volel Emile

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 39,969

(5(2) 306=7969

AUS920010905US1

(Previously presented) A method of displaying 1. execution status of a command, said command being sent to a plurality of computer systems on a network for execution, said method comprising the steps of:

displaying a dialog window, said dialog window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within a proper subwindow.

- The method of Claim 1 wherein said sub-2. (Original) windows include a "waiting" sub-window, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" sub-window.
- (Original) The method of Claim 2 wherein the step of 3. displaying the status of the execution of the command includes displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- (Original) The method of Claim 3 wherein when the 4. command begins to execute on a computer system, the computer system moved the is of the "waiting" sub-window to the "working" sub-window.

AUS920010905US1

Page 7 of 15

- (Original) The method of Claim 4 wherein when the 5. command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.
- Claim wherein the of 6. (Original) The method divided into a sub-window is further "completed" "successful" sub-window and a "failed" sub-window.
- (Original) The method of Claim 6 wherein the names of 7. the computer systems that have successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.
- (Previously presented) The method of Claim 7 wherein 8. the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "failed" sub-window.
- (Previously presented) The method of Claim 8 wherein 9. the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in red in the "failed" sub-window.
- The method of Claim 9 wherein when the 10. (Original) a computer system is name of displayed further information about the status of the command executing on the computer system is displayed.

AUS920010905US1

Page 8 of 15

- The method of Claim 10 wherein if the (Original) 11. selected computer system is displayed in the failed sub-window, a reason for the unsuccessful completion of the execution of the command is displayed.
- (Previously presented) The method of Claim 11 wherein 12. if the selected computer system is displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of the execution of the command is displayed.
- (Previously presented) A computer program product on a 13. computer readable medium for displaying an execution status of a command, said command being sent to a plurality of computer systems on a network execution, said computer program product comprising:

said dialog code for displaying a dialog window, window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

code for displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within the proper sub-window.

14. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 13 wherein said sub-windows include a "waiting" window, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" subwindow.

AUS920010905US1

Page 9 of 15

- (Original) The computer program product of Claim 14 15. wherein the code for displaying the status of the execution of the command includes code for displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- (Original) The computer program product of Claim 15 16. wherein when the command begins to execute computer system, the name of the computer system is moved from the "waiting" sub-window to the "working" sub-window.
- (Original) The computer program product of Claim 16 17. wherein when the command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.
- (Original) The computer program product of Claim 17 18. wherein the "completed" sub-window is further divided into a "successful" sub-window and a "failed" subwindow.
- (Original) The computer program product of Claim 18 19. wherein the names of the computer systems that have successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.
- (Previously presented) The computer program product of 20. Claim 19 wherein the names of the computer systems

AUS920010905US1

Page 10 of 15

> that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "failed" sub-window.

- 21. (Previously presented) The computer program product of Claim 20 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in red in the "failed" subwindow.
- (Original) The computer program product of Claim 21 22. wherein when the displayed name of a computer system is selected further information about the status of the command executing on the computer system is displayed.
- (Original) The computer program product of Claim 22 23. wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the failed sub-window, a reason for the of the completion of the execution unsuccessful command is displayed.
- (Previously presented) The computer program product of 24. Claim 23 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of the execution of the command is displayed.
- (Previously presented) An apparatus for displaying an 25. execution status of a command, said command being sent to a plurality of computer systems on a network for execution, said apparatus comprising:

AUS920010905US1

Page 11 of 15

> means for displaying a dialog window, window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

> means for displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within the proper sub-window.

- (Original) The apparatus of Claim 25 wherein said sub-26. windows include a "waiting" sub-window, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" sub-window.
- (Original) The apparatus of Claim 26 wherein the means 27. for displaying the status of the execution of the command includes means for displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- (Original) The apparatus of Claim 27 wherein when the 28. command begins to execute on a computer system, is moved from the of the computer system "waiting" sub-window to the "working" sub-window.
- 29. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 28 wherein when the command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.

AUS920010905US1

Page 12 of 15

- 30. apparatus of Claim 29 wherein the (Original) The "completed" sub-window is further divided into "successful" sub-window and a "failed" sub-window.
- 31. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 30 wherein the names that successfully computer systems have the completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.
- 32. (Previously presented) The apparatus of Claim wherein the names of the computer systems that have successfully completed the execution of command are displayed in the "failed" sub-window.
- 33. (Previously presented) The apparatus of Claim wherein the names of the computer systems that have successfully completed the execution the command are displayed in red in the "failed" subwindow.
- (Original) The apparatus of Claim 33 wherein when the 34. displayed name φf a computer system is selected further information about the status of the command executing on the computer system is displayed.
- (Original) The apparatus of Claim 34 wherein if the 35. selected computer system is displayed in the failed sub-window, a reason for the unsuccessful completion of the execution of the command is displayed.

AUS920010905US1

Page 13 of 15

- apparatus 35 of Claim (Previously presented) The 36. wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of the execution of the command is displayed.
- of displaying method (Previously presented) A 37. execution status of a command, the command being executed by a plurality of computer systems on network, the computer systems running different system management software utilities having different command structures, the method comprising the steps of:

enabling a user to enter the command in a common interface, the command being either a request to start execution of another command or to stop execution of the other command, the common interface translating the command into the different command structures;

enabling a user to send the command to the plurality of the computer systems;

enabling a user to indicate whether or not the execution of the command is to be monitored;

displaying, if the execution of the command is to be monitored, a dialog window that is divided into a waiting, working, successful and failed sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the

AUS920010905US1

Page 14 of 15

> command on each of the computer systems executing the command; and

> displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within a proper subwindow.

AUS920010905US1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Application of:

Abdelhadi et al.

: Before the Examiner: : Michael D. Meucci

Serial No: 09/965,002

: Group Art Unit: 2142

Filed: 09/27/2001

Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD : Confirmation No.: 2728 OF REPRESENTING REAL-TIME DISTRIBUTED COMMAND

EXECUTION STATUS ACROSS DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

APPELLANTS' BRIEF UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.192

:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is an appeal to a final rejection dated August 12, 2005 of claims 1 - 37 of Application Serial Number 09/965,002 filed on September 27, 2001. This Appeal Brief is submitted pursuant to a Notice of Appeal filed on November 13, 2005 in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.192.

AUS920010905US1

Page 1 of 15

BRIEF FOR APPLICANTS - APPELLANTS

(1)

Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest is International Business Machines Corporation (IBM), the assignee.

(2)

Related Appeals and Interferences

There are no other appeals or interferences known to appellants, appellants' representative or assignee, which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

(3)

Status of Claims

Claims 1 - 37 have been finally rejected in an Office Action dated August 12, 2005.

(4)

Status of Amendment

All amendments have been entered.

(5)

Summary of the Invention

In accordance with the teachings of the invention, when a command is being executed on a plurality of computer systems on a network, a dialog window is displayed (page 17, lines 9 - 16 and Fig. 10). In the dialog window, subwindows for displaying present status of the execution of

AUS920010905US1

Page 2 of 15

the command on each of the computer systems are displayed (page 17, lines 24 to page 18, line 9).

(6)

Issues

Whether Claims 1 - 37 were properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$103(a) as being unpatentable over Joyce et al. in view of Ahmed at al.

(7)

Grouping of Claims

The rejected claims stand or fall together.

(8)

Argument

In considering a Section \$103 rejection, the subject matter of the claim "as a whole" must be considered and In the analysis, it is necessary that the scope analyzed. and contents of the prior art and differences between the art and the claimed invention be determined. Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1 (1966).

Joyce et al. purport to teach a method of using monitoring tools to support the development of distributed systems that interact via message passing (see page 122, lines 1 - 3). In accordance with the teachings of Joyce et al., Jade, a programming environment, is used to support the development of a distributed program. Jade includes a window system, a graphics package, an interactive graphics

AUS920010905US1

Page 3 of 15

editor and a distributed monitoring system (see Section 2 on page 125).

The graphics package provides routines for creating manipulating pictures and the graphics editor facilitates the creation of pictures that can be used to specific states of an executing distributed represent program (see the 4th full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page The window system may be used by a user to create and manipulate windows using a mouse, for example. window is a virtual terminal as well as an interface to Jade processes (see the 3rd full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page 125).

in conjunction with the window system, graphics package and the graphics editor, the distributed monitoring system may be used to observe a set of Jade processes executing on different machines (see the 1st full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page 125 as well as the 1st full paragraph of Section 2.2 on page 126).

The system may be set such that each time an event is received (which is generally done through message passing from one computer system to another), a picture that state inter-process represents current of the а communication of the distributed application program updated and displayed to the user (see Section 3.2 on pages 133 and 134). Consequently, an animated graphical view of an event stream, such as that shown in Fig. 7, may be displayed to a user.

But Joyce et al. do not teach, show or suggest the step of displaying a dialog window that is divided into sub-windows in which the status of a command that is being

AU\$920010905US1

Page 4 of 15

executed on a plurality of computer systems is displayed as claimed.

Ahmed et al. purport to teach a distributed framework for intertask communication between workstation applications. According to the purported teachings of Ahmed et al., one or more workstations are interconnected by an extensible intertask communication (ITC) apparatus. Each workstation has a display in which one or more windows are presented to an operator. Each window is generated in response to the execution of an application program or client application. Each client application has a Human Interface Code and a Framework Code. The Framework Code, conjunction with a server program, transmits and communicates event information directly between a first client application and a second client application, or a plurality of client application programs concurrently executing in one or more workstations of a network of interconnected workstations, without requiring that event information pass through and register with an intervening server or dispatcher application program, if and when an interest object is initially transmitted between the first client application and the second client application via the server program.

An event is an action taken by one operator at a workstation. For example, that operator may drag the cursor by moving a mouse or perhaps the operator will delete data or create new data. That event information, being practiced by one operator in one program application at one workstation, may be needed by another operator in another program application at another workstation.

AUS920010905US1

Page 5 of 15

transmit that interprocess communication can event information from the one program application to all other program applications in network the of workstations, without requiring that the event information register with an intervening server or dispatcher program, provided that an interest object(s) was initially transmitted between the application and all the program other program applications via a server which are concurrently executing in all of the workstations in the network of workstations.

However, just as in the case of Joyce et al., Ahmed et al. do not show, teach or so much as suggest the step of displaying a dialog window that is divided into sub-windows in which the status of a command that is being executed on a plurality of computer systems is displayed as claimed.

Since the references, either alone or in combination, teach, show or suggest the claimed invention, Applicants submit that the claims in the Application are allowable. Hence, Applicants respectfully request allowance and passage to issue of the claims in the application.

Respectfully submitted,

Ву:

Volel Emile

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 39,969

(5(2) 306-7969

AUS920010905US1

A method of displaying 1. (Previously presented) execution status of a command, said command being sent to a plurality of computer systems on a network for execution, said method comprising the steps of:

displaying a dialog window, said dialog window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within a proper subwindow.

- (Original) The method of Claim 1 wherein said sub-2. windows include a "waiting" sub-window, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" sub-window.
- (Original) The method of Claim 2 wherein the step of 3. displaying the status of the execution of the command includes displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- The method of Claim 3 wherein when the 4. (Original) command begins to execute on a computer system, the of the computer system is moved from the "waiting" sub-window to the "working" sub-window.

AU\$920010905US1

Page 7 of 15

- 5. (Original) The method of Claim 4 wherein when the command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.
- 6. Claim 5 wherein the (Original) The method of further divided into "completed" sub-window is "successful" sub-window and a "failed" sub-window.
- 7. (Original) The method of Claim 6 wherein the names of the computer systems that have successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.
- 8. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 7 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "failed" sub-window.
- 9. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 8 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in red in the "failed" sub-window.
- 10. (Original) The method of Claim 9 wherein when the system displayed name of а computer is selected further information about the status of the command executing on the computer system is displayed.

AUS920010905US1

Page 8 of 15

- The method of Claim 10 wherein if the 11. (Original) selected computer system is displayed in the failed sub-window, a reason for the unsuccessful completion of the execution of the command is displayed.
- 12. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 11 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of execution of the command is displayed.
- 13. (Previously presented) A computer program product on a computer readable medium for displaying an execution status of a command, said command being sent to a computer systems plurality of on а network execution, said computer program product comprising:

code for displaying a dialog window, said dialog window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

code for displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within the proper sub-window.

14. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 13 wherein said sub-windows include a "waiting" window, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" subwindow.

AUS920010905US1

Page 9 of 15

- 15. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 14 wherein the code for displaying the status of the execution of the command includes code for displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- 16. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 15 wherein when the command begins to execute on a computer system, the name of the computer system is moved from the "waiting" sub-window to the "working" sub-window.
- 17. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 16 wherein when the command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.
- 18. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 17 wherein the "completed" sub-window is further divided into a "successful" sub-window and a "failed" subwindow.
- 19. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 18 wherein the names of the computer systems that have successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.
- 20. (Previously presented) The computer program product of Claim 19 wherein the names of the computer systems

AUS920010905US1

Page 10 of 15

> that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "failed" sub-window.

- (Previously presented) The computer program product of 21. Claim 20 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in red in the "failed" subwindow.
- (Original) The computer program product of Claim 21 22. wherein when the displayed name of a computer system is selected further information about the status is command executing on the computer system displayed.
- (Original) The computer program product of Claim 22 23. wherein if the selected computer system is displayed reason for the failed sub-window, а the in completion of the execution of the unsuccessful command is displayed.
- (Previously presented) The computer program product of 24. Claim 23 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of the execution of the command is displayed.
- (Previously presented) An apparatus for displaying an 25. execution status of a command, said command being sent to a plurality of computer systems on a network for execution, said apparatus comprising:

AUS920010905US1

Page 11 of 15

> for displaying a dialog window, said dialog window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

> means for displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within the proper sub-window.

- 26. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 25 wherein said subwindows include a "waiting" sub-window, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" sub-window.
- 27. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 26 wherein the means for displaying the status of the execution of the command includes means for displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- 28. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 27 wherein when the command begins to execute on a computer system, name of the computer system is moved the "waiting" sub-window to the "working" sub-window.
- 29. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 28 wherein when the command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.

AUS920010905US1

Page 12 of 15

- 30. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 29 wherein the "completed" sub-window is further divided into a "successful" sub-window and a "failed" sub-window.
- 31. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 30 wherein the names of the computer systems that have successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.
- 32. (Previously presented) The apparatus of Claim 31 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "failed" sub-window.
- 33. (Previously presented) The apparatus of Claim 32 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in red in the "failed" subwindow.
- 34. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 33 wherein when the displayed name of a computer system is selected further information about the status of the command executing on the computer system is displayed.
- 35. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 34 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the failed sub-window, a reason for the unsuccessful completion of the execution of the command is displayed.

AUS920010905US1

Page 13 of 15

- Claim The apparatus of (Previously presented) 36. wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of the execution of the command is displayed.
- (Previously presented) A method of displaying 37. command being execution status of a command, the computer systems executed by a plurality of network, the computer systems running different system management software utilities having different command structures, the method comprising the steps of:

enabling a user to enter the command in a common interface, the command being either a request to start execution of another command or to stop execution of the other command, the common interface translating the command into the different command structures;

enabling a user to send the command to the plurality of the computer systems;

user to indicate whether or not the enabling a execution of the command is to be monitored;

displaying, if the execution of the command is to be monitored, a dialog window that is divided into a waiting, working, successful and failed sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the

AUS920010905US1

Page 14 of 15

command on each of the computer systems executing the command; and

displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within a proper subwindow.

AUS920010905US1